CENTENNIAL RESERVOIR PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING PUBLIC COMMENTS

Wednesday, March 9, 2016 6:00 to 8:00 p.m.

Holiday Inn Express 121 Bank Street Grass Valley, CA 95945 MS. SUAREZ: D-I-A-N-N-A, S-U-A-R-E-Z. Diane Suarez, and I'm a resident of Placer County, and on behalf of the citizens of Colfax and Meadow Vista, we'd like to request a scoping meeting on our side of the river that we can get to easily.

2.2

I'm a resident of Colfax who lives down Milk
Ranch Road close to the Bear River. My neighbors and I
will be directly impacted by Parker Dam and Centennial
Reservoir.

When this dam was originally proposed in 1925, there were not two other dams on the same river above and below the proposed site. If this project were to go through, there would be no river left, only a strain of water storage facilities.

My concern is the cumulative impacts on the landscape for three reservoirs in a single drainage channel with no river in between.

Right now we have a rich diversity of local wildlife that migrate through our neighborhood, including turkeys, deer, foxes, skunks cougars, bears, bobcats, small mammals, and a vast population of beautiful wild birds. How will removing habitat from the local wildlife protect it?

My concern is loss of suitable wildlife habitat and loss of connectivity for critical wildlife habitat.

I walk my dog down at the Bear River a few times a week. There is a nice campground, the Bear River campground, along the river that is fully occupied from opening season to winter when the County closes it by blocking the sites with large rocks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

People love that place. There is public river access with a parking lot and toilets connected to a group campsite that is also full all season long. I see families enjoying the river, kids tubing in the water and always hikers, bikers and dog walkers, and it is free.

The American River on the other side of the freeway costs \$10 just to park along the road. Bear River public access and campgrounds is a key recreational site to the local Placer County residents.

If this dam goes through, the people on my side of the river will lose all of this and have only a dead-end road that stops where the canal goes across on both Milk Ranch and Plumb Tree.

Another large group of river users enjoy standing in the river all day and panning for gold. This goes on all year long. There is a shop in town that sells all the supplies and tools that these people use. Other stores that sell food and camping supplies, as well as restaurants and most of the businesses in

town benefit greatly from the Bear River attracting people to this area. The economy of our town is largely dependent on the Bear River.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I am part of a Washoe/Miwok family indigenous to this area. I visit the river to gather some of the materials I use for ceremony and prayer, and some of my prayers are said at the river.

The animals and plants along the river deserve to have voices as well as the people. It is a sacred community full of individuals living their lives in an ecosystem that does not exist along side a reservoir.

The elderberries, the mock orange, willow, pearly whites, globe lilies, tiger lilies, bay laurel, big leaf maple, buckeye red blood, ancient canyon oak, giant dust fir trees create a sacred place that is far more valuable than what you propose.

Please put your facilities someplace else. people who will get water from this dam are not the ones who will be impacted by it. In fact, the future need for this water has not been established, and less expensive alternatives such as silt removal from existing reservoirs and canal leakage have not been investigated.

Unlimited and unrestrained road is not the goal of the communities here in the foothills of the Sierra

Nevada mountains.

Most people move up here to get away from the rat race. Making our community a water storage facility for the cities is an insult to the local population and a travesty against the beauty that we've come here to enjoy.

In conclusion, I would urge you to realize that this idea from 1925 belongs in the past where it should stay. It is not the right project for our modern society and way of life that seeks to live in harmony with nature and people who respect living ecosystems.

MR. COE: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen.

My name is James Coe, C-O-E, and I live in the Grass

Valley area.

And this project -- the Parker Dam was conceived way back in 1925, or so. At that time they had a drought so bad that the American River dried up, Sacramento River dried up. There weren't dams. There weren't storage, so since the last great drought of my memory in 1976, no storage had really been added to Northern California. Very little.

And conservation was supposed to save us, and recycling and groundwater injection, they were all supposed to be the solution. Hydrology doesn't work that way in this State.

If you don't have water, you can't conserve it.

An example of that is what happened in the Valley.

Farmers didn't have water. They couldn't conserve it,

and their fields went invalid.

Storage -- inline dam storage is the only way we're going to capture that water that comes down in a few weeks at a time.

For example, this state is rich in water. On average years, 70 million acre feet run off the mountains. To put that in perspective, that's enough water for 350 million people.

Now, most of that will -- hopefully will go to the the environment, and a lot of it will go to the agriculture industry, which keeps land opened and undeveloped, and if you provide a very affordable cost of water to our farmers in our county here, that will discourage development in the open spaces. So we need -- we need inline storage to capture that flow when it comes down.

Most of our flow will come down this year in a few weeks. Right now, Rollins is overflowing, Folsom is in a drought, flood control mode, because there isn't anyplace to put the water.

So what I would like to see in this study is to acknowledge -- recognize the fire risk of the homeless

camps down along the Bear River.

The Bear River is fun to fish, it's fun to kayak if you're healthy and strong enough to do it, and if you can fight off the poison oak in the homeless camps, you'd have fun down there.

But that lake will be more valuable for most people, the common good demands that lake.

Yes, some things are going to have to be sacrificed, but I would also like to see an analysis in your note project alternative, what is the present worth of not having that dam? What is the present worth of not having that project over the next 50 years?

The lost opportunity cost has got to be tremendous. Thank you.

MS. WAITE: Joy Waite. W-A-I-T-E.

I live in Chicago Park, and I'd like to ensure that in that --

Can you hear? Do you want me -- can you hear me?

MEMBERS: Yes.

MS. WAITE: I would like to ensure that when the budget information comes out, that everything possible gets included in the budget, not just the cost of building the dam itself.

But already there have been extreme

expenditures for the geological study and then for the EIR, and now -- but I want to make sure that in the budget includes the land purchases that are required by the 178 landowners affected, the land purchases that would be required by the mitigation for taking Oak Savannah away by inundating it, the cost of the roads and bridges that will be required because the Dunbar Bridge will be underwater by 200 feet, so if there's going to be no access between Placer and Nevada County besides 174 and the southern most road is besides that.

2.2

Whether there is going to be future hydro projects because apparently that has been taken out of the project currently, and is that going to be one hydro plant providing 100 megawatts or -- I mean, 200 megawatts, which requires FERC licensing, or would it be two hydro plants only providing 100 megawatts to avoid FERC licensing?

And also now I see this new pipeline for -- along Dog Bar Road, how much that would cost, and the -- also the four bay that is planned near Lake Combie to support a new development and golf course.

MR. GARRETT: Jim Garrett. G-A-R-E-T-T.

And we live off of Magnolia about a half a mile west of Dog Bar, and fundamentally -- I don't want to get into the politics of this. There's going to be

plenty of that coming. It's already started here tonight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Basically, we are in favor of the dam. think that the increased water capacity is what is important here for the future.

We do have very many concerns primarily about regards to the transportation, road development and recreation in the final lake configuration, but fundamentally, we need more water.

MR. DREW: Jim Drew. D-R-E-W.

My family has been in Nevada County since the '60s, 1860s, and the water needs -- waters needs, of course, in our county have developed from the mining originally, and then has evolved into agriculture, which is my chief concern.

Nevada County has got a unique opportunity here to increase water storage, increase food production, maintain habitat. It's going to shift a little bit, sure, and I'm sure glad that there have been some statements made, and it's outlined in your proposals here of things that you really need to address because we really need to look close at those and see how they can be mitigated.

But Nevada County's agriculture is different than a lot of counties, like our Great Central Valley. A lot of small farms, okay, and they can use that raw water that has been proposed on that Dog Bar pipeline. We've got to look at how that's going to play out.

But, you know, just about almost everybody has what you used to call it a victory garden, but there are so many of those going in now, small farmers, we need the water, this is an outstanding project, and, of course, I am in favor of that. I'll say that even though we're not supposed to state opinions in that, according to the guidelines.

Okay. And so let's take a good look at all of those things that are in your proposal, and I know you're going to do that. It's going to be a long process.

The previous speaker said, this is the first thing that's been proposed in California since the '70s, and that, and it's a unique opportunity for our county and for Placer County realizing, again, there's going to be a lot of mitigation.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. BARD: David Bard, B-A-R-D.

Rather than take up time to merely restate various things that have been said, I just wanted to say that my heart is completely with the first speaker, Ms. Suarez.

I also understand that population growth is something that is virtually out of our control, and is in itself out of control, and additional water will be needed, but I hope we can do a lot of mitigation.

And as a person who lives on the Bear River, I must say that I want a lot of careful attention paid for the things that Ms. Suarez said.

Thank you.

2.2

MR. RINELLA: Frank Rinella. Last name is R-I-N-E-L-L-A.

I'm a local resident and member of the Federation of Fly Fishers and a local member of one of the fly fishing clubs, and we have a concern about the loss of recreational area on the Bear River.

The fishery there, the loss of the Bear River Campground, and I'll be brief because we're going to actually submit a written comment, and I'll pass the time on.

Thank you.

MR. LITTON: First of all, thank you for the water. It's delicious. Very good.

One thing all the Nevada County residents agree on is protecting our rivers. We have stopped the dam from construction on the Yuba River in that past, and that's why we still have this incredible heritage which

all of us citizens do appreciate.

2.2

Hundreds of thousands of people visit our rivers every year. We all know the rivers are important, and it may be the one matter that unites us all. Republicans and Democrats agree, we protect the rivers. Young and old, we all protect the rivers.

Every year hundreds of us come together to remove tons and tons of garbage from more than 86 miles of our rivers. We take this very seriously.

The Nevada Irrigation District knows all too well where their clients reside. The tens of thousands of us who buy the water you capture are also ones who stand -- who stand up for the rivers at whatever cost.

Esthetically, when you build a reservoir that will almost never be full, are you -- you are severely decreasing the value of the lands in that area, not to mention the properties that will be inundated and lost.

How do you intend to make up for the immeasurable loss that you plan to burden us. Air quality is a big concern to all of us because reservoirs release methane. Methane is ten times more efficient, more effective at contributing to climate change than carbon dioxide, so how to you plan to zero that balance?

What are your plans to make up for the amount that you would contribute to climate change, especially

compared to the photosynthetic floor that you would be considering killing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Cultural resources will severely be destroyed in this construction. My friends and I learned to kayak on that pristine stretch of river you're considering ruining.

Many of us go to that river for camping, for playing in the river, for observing wildlife, for photography. Our community relies on that river, as well as thousands of other people who aren't -- who we aren't hearing from tonight, especially those coming from Colfax, and others from Meadow Vista.

Can you all hear me all right in the back? What cultural resources will you possibly contribute to the community to make up for this great loss?

Hazardous materials will be concentrated in the perspective reservoir. Six million pounds of mercury were released to the environment during the mining days, and this stretch of river already contains elevated amounts of mercury. Building this reservoir will put that mercury into the food chain, as it is absorbed and methylated by microbes, and then they make their way into fish that people eat.

Now, while you might think that putting up your

sign and telling people not to eat the fish, guess what, they still do, so NID would inevitably be responsible for putting toxic mercury-laden fish onto the plates of tourists and their citizens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

How do you plan to deal with the health risks that are -- you are inflicting upon these people, most endangered of them being pregnant mothers.

Terrestrial and aquatic -- terrestrial and aquatic biologic resources are the voices for whom we have to speak up for. Our local wildlife will be severely impacted with this dam. Not the only one who has seen a bear at the Bear River coming down to drink the water. Many more of our iconic animals who rely on this river like mountain lions, bobcats, river otters, bald eagles, et cetera, will all lose habitat if this dam takes place.

Those acres and acres of dried lake bed are essentially a biological wasteland compared to the rich ecosystem that we currently have.

Killing a river isn't just an inconvenience for traffic, it isn't just evicting a family from their home after three generations, this is life and death for other residents of our county, the other animals aside from us.

Imagine if we are river people, if you proceed

with this dam, you are taking families out of their homes, attacking our local wildlife, damaging our tourism and economy, putting poisonous fish onto our plates and exacerbating climate change.

This is a horrible act against all of us and we -- against the things that all of us hold most dear.

Surely, you will lose many of your customers if you proceed with this horrible idea, how you wish for us to view your impact upon this community.

Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. RICE: Erik Rice. R-I-C-E.

I'm concerned about transportation in the area with -- with cutting off Dog Bar Road, and I don't see where you're going to mitigate it.

Where's the access? Where's the bridge? are you going to do to alleviate the traffic problem?

And Alta Sierra relies on the Dog Bar corridor for a fire escape. That's been the big controversy in the Lone Star and Sunshine Valley and Alta Sierra, and you're cutting off a roadway out of there.

I see nothing on the maps or anything talking about what we're going to do with traffic in the area.

I'm also very concerned with the recreational loss in the area. I think -- and NID in the past has taken and made this nice reservoir at Rollins Lake and Scotts Flat Lake, and they charge you to go in and use the water.

2.2

Today you can go to the Bear River and recreate, whether it's kayaking or gold panning or tubing. My kids have been tubing down there for 30 years, and -- and we park for free, and we use the river for free.

But what are you going to do when you build another lake? Are you going to charge us to go in like you charge us to go to Rollins Lake? We lose that as well, as the habitat.

And my biggest concern is the traffic flow there, and I think that you must build a bridge; you have to. You're cutting off Colfax.

The sports teams from Bear River and Colfax are constantly traveling to Dog Bar Road to get back and forth, and you more than triple their -- their trip to get to the schools now, so I think that's something you have to look at.

MR. SPRUNCK: My last name is Sprunck. S-P-R-U-N-C-K.

And I live in one of the houses that is going to be inundated, by the way.

There's been a lot of things said about -- about this dam, but the truth is, when we lose this

Canyon, we're not going to get it back.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I've lived there 25 years, and, you know, we're going to have one dam after another dam after another dam, and it's just going to be gone.

And I see that there's lots and lots of canyons throughout the Sierra that won't impact us in this way and cut us off from, you know, the access that we have right now.

You know, I've lived there so many years and have enjoyed the wildlife and the scenic values in this canyon, and -- and, I mean, there's other aspects of it.

I'm not a great speaker like some of these guys seem to be, but it is affecting me. In fact, it's already affecting me because I -- every decision now I make is based on possibly losing the home I've invested in for 25 years.

And I've actually reached out to NID several times to try to work out a solution so I come out of this whole, if possible, and I've got very limited response back, and I think that that's kind of -- well, it's making me very uneasy.

I seem to be the little guy, and they seem to be doing their thing and not really responding to my requests.

So, anyways, I know we need water for the

future, and I know that we need to grow and do things, but we also need to look at where we grow and how we grow, and how we treat the people that we come across, and I know that there's the good of the many, and I'm just a few, I'm just the little guy, but, you know, I think that the little guys need a little bit of time too.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HEINSTROHM: So for the stenographer, I'm Kristen, K-R-I-S-T-E-N, Hein, H-E-I-N, Strohm, S-T-R-O-H-M. Thank you.

I'm a wildlife biologist, have been for 18 years, and I work for Sierra Streams Institute. We are involved with a project with many stakeholders throughout the Bear River watershed, including private residents who live here, as well as many of the Federal, State, County and other agencies, other non-profit organizations who care about this watershed.

As part of this, we are compiling a conservance inventory of data related to the deep impacts that have already occurred in this watershed, as well as developing a restoration plan for this watershed.

I want to make sure that any decisions that are made or any environmental analysis of this EIR are considering all of that information, and all of those

collaborative consensus-based plans are being developed by the stakeholders.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I also want to make sure that everyone here knows that this is also a process that they can get involved in, so definitely come talk to me or visit our website at sierrastreams.org to get more information on that potential process.

Some of our issues that we are concerned about that need to be addressed in the Environmental Impact Report includes the methylation of Mercury, which was discussed by one additional person.

We have an enormous amount of mercury in the system, and the areas that have the highest amount of mercury are our existing dams, are the reservoirs at Combie and Rollins.

Also, the process with which water is moved within our reservoir actually changes the way that the mercury, which is toxic to humans as well as to wildlife. A lot of people here have been talking about the importance of water for humans. We also need to think about the health effects on humans as well.

The process within which mercury is transformed into a bioavailable form in a reservoir is different from that in which is flowing down a river. That needs to be analyzed in-depth.

We also need to be analyzing the downstream of that, so not only within the proposed reservoir, but also what is going to be happening with the mercury and the many other toxins, such as arsenic and lead, which are coming in from the upstream tributaries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

How are those going to be changed by the effects of the reservoir in the downstream areas as well?

Methylation of mercury is an issue for restoration projects as far away as the Delta with mercury coming down here. Endangered species, such as the giant garter snake in the Sacramento Valley are being affected with their health. We have studies that demonstrate that by mercury in our area, as well as the people who are living here. Sierra Streams Institute is performing a number of studies on public health relating to this.

This is just one of many areas that we are concerned about.

I definitely also as a wildlife biologist was very surprised to see the statement on this board that says that habitat was actually going to be increased and improved for fisheries, for example.

A reservoir is a warm water fishery, not a cold water fishery, so river is a cold water fishery, which

has more than 1,000 native rainbow and brown trout per mile in the upper reaches of this area that is proposed to be transformed into a reservoir, which then would be a warm water fisheries reporting non-native bass, which, by the way, also accumulates more mercury into the system and then also have hypertrophic effects on wildlife, such as bald eagles, a number of other species that then eat those fish as well as the people.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Also, the number of special status species, so endangered, rare and other threatened species that were listed in the notice of preparation that was produced by NID is much smaller than the list of species that actually occur in the watershed or have potential to occur in this watershed.

There are many additional species that need to be addressed. That's going to be coming in a list, and also the issue of wildlife movement corridor.

If you have six miles of an additional dam in between the two dams, there is not going to be access for wildlife to move from one side of the river to the other.

Also, in terms of land use for the people as well as wildlife movement, if you actually look at the map of the watershed and the parcels and the roads, everything that is going on with land use in the

watershed, which is in the conservance inventory that we have, you will see that in the middle elevation where this reservoir is proposed, that is the area of highest density of parcels, highest density of roads, most limits of movement per wildlife and also the fewest opportunities for large tracks of land to be set aside as habitat mitigation and recreation mitigation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So this is something where the largest tracks in the area of middle watershed are those along this area of the Bear River between the two existing dams. Those are opportunities that would be lost.

I think it's really important that as we are evaluating mitigation options, we realistically evaluate whether sufficient mitigation opportunities exist within the watershed that would be of enough area and enough quality to actually remediate the effects of the dam.

These are a few of many.

The Nisenan tribes, in addition to the United Auburn Indian Community, there's the Nevada City Rancheria and the Colfax Rancheria, those need to be consulted when you're looking at cultural resources in addition to United Auburn. There is a long history of their life here.

I'll give somebody else a chance. More will be coming in written form. Thank you.

MS. CANANN: Hi. I'm Catherine Canann
C-A-N-A-N-N. I'm 16 years old, and I live in Nevada
County.

And I'm opposed to this dam. I talked to

Chris -- Nick Wilcox from the NID, and I asked him why

he thinks it's okay to use eminent domain, and he said

because we've been doing that for years, and that is not

okay with me.

Just because people have been oppressed for years, doesn't mean you can hurt people. Just because someone is hurting someone, does not mean you can hurt someone else.

Plus, the fish in this river need the river to go up and down, and fish ladders only work for three percent of species. So how are you going to fix that? Have fun.

Who are the customers to the NID? I looked it up over and over again. I can't figure out who NID is giving water to.

And I spoke to someone from SYRCL who told me that the amount of water that NID supplies to the actual citizens is only five percent of their water source, so we can live without you.

Thank you.

MR. GACY: Gacy, G-A-C-Y, and so I have a

couple of points to make. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I have a couple of questions.

I don't know if there's like -- that would be answered or I can e-mail them, maybe it would be better.

I want to know whether the people who are being displaced up there are low income or otherwise able to move because that can be really important.

Also, what forest are going to be considered for mitigation, and who -- that my good friend just brought up, who are the primary recipients of the water? That can be really important. I think that --

Yeah. And so one thing that's important for me -- okay.

So I'm from Boulder, I'm from Boulder, Colorado, so I -- my relation to the topic is relatively minimal, but I've been fighting things like this in my own hometown, and so I ask you like if we're not having the problem here, and if we succeed in having the dam not happen, then what's going to happen to whoever has to deal with it next?

So until we make it financially -- financially and environmentally viable for corporations and companies to, like, think about this in an environmental standpoint, then somebody else is going to have to fight it.

And so, yeah, we need to look at it from a new perspective instead of looking at, well, don't take away my house, don't take away my kid's river. We need to look at it from a perspective of making it viable for everybody and attractive for the companies to make it viable, if that makes sense.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. BRISSON: Sure. My name is Janet Brisson, B-R-I-S-S-O-N.

I live about a mile-and-a-half from the Dog Bar Bridge. We bought our property 25 years ago because of the Dog Bar Bridge and easy access to I-80, and then fell in love with the river. There is not another piece of -- or another river like it.

Folsom Dam, Shasta Dam, those lakes when it's August, and it's low, they're down right ugly. I don't know how animals can go down those steep sides to get water.

We're talking about putting a lake 250 feet deep in a fire corridor like the -- like she just said, how are these animals going to migrate across the river?

One thing that nobody has addressed on the environmental impact is if you do put this lake there and do take away the Dog Bar Bridge and people have to go to Highway 49, you're going past three schools.

What's going to be the impact rush hour with kids coming and going on Dog Bar -- at that point it would be Magnolia and Combie Road, and then onto Highway 49, rush hour with all this additional traffic. There has to be some sort of environmental impact.

Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. BRADY: My last name is Brady, B-R-A-D-Y.

And I'm a resident of Wolf Creek Road, South County off of Sierra Ranches, and I would just echo the concerns Mr. Rice and our last speaker made that traffic congestion is a huge issue for all of us who live north of Magnolia Road and west of Dog Bar.

There's -- if you flood Dog Bar Road and Magnolia Road, parts of it, we're cut off from timely access to both Highway 80 and the southern route out to Highway 49, and the roads inside the Alta Sierra community are not built to handle a lot of extra congestion.

They're windy, they're steep. A lot of the roads don't have shoulders, so if you end up with a lot more traffic coming up through Alta Sierra to get to 49 through Lime Kiln or Alta Sierra Drive, you're going to have a real mess, and there's potential for accidents and just a lot of frustration and congestion.

So we would really, really appreciate the

environmental impact studies to look at traffic mitigation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Also, Mr. Rice mentioned fire evacuation. you're cutting off extra fire evacuation routes to that area, we've already been identified as high risk for wildfires.

We paid the extra Board of Equalization fee and extra fees to the fire department, so we -- fires are a concern for us, and our evacuation routes are a concern.

We've also got questions about the impact on wells. A lot of us are on well water. What happens when you put a large reservoir in? I don't know how that effects the groundwater, but I'd appreciate that being studied.

And then I'm not a hydrology engineer, but I have been reading that there are proposed alternatives to the dam. Some of what I've heard is optimizing existing facilities, enlarging existing facilities, or collaborating with some of the existing facilities.

There's another item that I read that involves optimizing the American River sub-basin groundwater and the American River sub-basin, and then something to do with recharging water through the Murton Fount formation.

I don't know what those things are, but I'm

sure you've got engineers who do, so I would ask that you please study all the alternatives very carefully before proceeding with the dam.

Thank you.

2.2

MR. DARDICK: Last name is Dardick, D-A-R-D-I-C-K.

You're getting very good at holding this.

So good evening. My name a Kaleb Dardick. I'm the Executive Director of SYRCL, the South Yuba River Citizens League.

SYRCL's mission is to protect the Yuba, which we've been doing for over 30 years now, and SYRCL is very concerned about the Centennial Dam proposal.

We have many questions about its potential negative impacts on the Yuba River. As you probably know, half of the South and Middle Yuba River water already gets diverted to the Bear River, and Centennial could demand more.

If you think about a dam that may not be full all the time, there might be a difficult type call to keep it filled. Where will that water come from?

So we are hoping the EIR will tell us much more about to what extent you're relying on the Yuba and existing water to fill this dam.

NID talks about this project addressing drought

and climate change. In order to really understand the purpose and need for this project, we need a thorough study of the latest climate change science, including projected impacts on the Yuba and Bear watersheds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There is so much we don't know about what's coming.

A very important part of this process is making sure NID studies alternatives to this dam and reservoir, as the last speaker said. What is the full range of alternative means by which NID could respond to the effects of climate change and drought instead of building this dam?

We'd like to know to what extent NID needs could be met through water conservation and supporting efforts to restore headwater forests and meadows, which we know are a great way, environmental way to hold water.

SYRCL's position is that in a time of record drought and climate change, we need creative solutions to address our local water needs, and we want to know if there are better ways for NID to meet its future water needs rather than construct a big, new, expensive dam.

We're also really concerned about NID's approach to buying up BLM land and by going around the local BLM office to acquire this land.

This looks like an end run to avoid Federal protections for the environment that had been hard won by our community over the years. The EIR should address how the loss of Federal protections will be honored for respective by NID and future agreements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I do want to thank NID for extending the comment period and for doing such a great job of getting people out, and I encourage all of you here to fully participate. If you don't speak tonight, please submit written comments.

And we have provided -- I only made 50 copies. We passed them out.

We have information on how to write effective comment letters, suggested things you can write about at our website, yubariver.org/centennialdam.

Encourage you to use that reference, and to also let other people -- if 150 people are willing to come out, I imagine there's ten times as many who care but couldn't get out tonight for childcare or other reasons. Please reach out to them.

And, of course, SYRCL will be submitting extensive comments on this, and I appreciate the extra time.

Thank you.

MR. REEDY: Reedy. R-E-E-D-Y.

Good evening, folks. I am a river scientist with SYRCL, and I will be contributing to written comments as part of -- a lot of people under the umbrella of the Foothill Water Network, so I'll keep my comments to what I think are -- just a couple of thoughts I can't resist to share, and hopefully add some unique value to -- or additional value to some of the really thoughtful and inspiring comments we've already heard, starting with Ms. Suarez.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Bear River deserves to be fully, fully cared for and analyze the environmental impacts of inundating six miles of what is a valuable river ecosystem, but I do want to speak to --

Well, first of all, this is the thought. That the environment is not a customer of NID's or any corporation or any agency or any organization or any people.

The environment is the context for everything of value, life, health, economy, beauty, so let's -let's remember that as we go through this environmental review process and engage in this process.

I've been working for seven years with many other people, including NID and many of their wonderful staff on an environmental process of re-licensing the existing dams that NID owns and their diversions and

their hydroelectric facilities as regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so much care has gone into actually restoring the sections of the Yuba River that are so heavily diverted by those facilities, and so much care into thinking about the water is precious, and it's valuable.

So how much water is released, what time of year, it all makes a difference, and we are expecting to see some really significant restoration and improvement of our beloved structures in the Yuba River, Canyon Creek, the Middle Yuba River, the South Yuba River as a result of that whole process that's been going on and not yet complete.

So it's very important to understand how this additional storage facility on the Bear River might impact those gains or improvements Yuba River that are not yet secured, but we're anxiously awaiting.

So certainly expect that to be part of the EIR.

The other thought I wanted to share is that the water that washes over the existing dams in the Bear River and flows out towards Sacramento is not wasted The -- this time of year, especially.

The biggest environmental problem in California, my opinion, and many others, is our ailing

Sacramento River Delta ecosystem, and it is water at this time of year and on later into the spring that is desperately needed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Every acre foot of extra water, fresh water that flows into the Delta is the most important thing to restore that ecosystem, so that needs to be part of the EIR too in terms of what is the loss of environmental benefits downstream.

And as far as those comments earlier about groundwater, the entire groundwater capacity of the Central Valley exceeds all the dams in California in terms of storage capacity, and as most of you know, our groundwater aquifers in the Central Valley are depleted, and it's a huge problem of how we're going to replenish those groundwater reserves.

So when we're talking about storing any amount -- and I think it's really only a few of the years, any amount of storage could be achieved by this dam, that is taking away in some respects from opportunities for recharge of that natural groundwater downstream.

So those downstream effects need to be very carefully looked at as well.

Thank you.

MS. NOLL: My name is Kate Noll. I am a high

school senior. I am from Austin, Texas. I'm currently here with the Woolman Semester School, along with two other students who have spoken tonight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I am no stranger to drought conditions. I'm not from this area, but Texas has been in severe drought since 2010. So I -- there's a lot of stuff like this going on in my hometown, which is why it's so important to me.

So the water demand is increasing. This is also a lot of questions, not really a speech, per se, but the demand for water is increasing because there is not as much of it, but -- like if water demand decreases, would there be such a need for the dam? Would --

What measures have been taken to conserve the water that we do have in this area?

Who will benefit most from the dam? As it's been said, there is no proof that NID is supplying water to the people and the small towns that will be receiving water from the dam, so what is --

Who is benefitting most from the dam?

And what is the socioeconomic background of the people benefitting? Do they benefit over the people from lower socioeconomic backgrounds that are being removed from their homes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Why is this not an issue to the NID?

How does the location of the dam impact the community surrounding it? How is the location determined? Will there be compensation for the people being removed from their homes? And who is being displaced from their homes because of the dam?

How will this dam impact the lives of the indigenous people of this area, and has there been consideration for this?

What are the plans for protection from mercury poisoning? And why is this not being addressed, and why -- if there is already such a mercury problem in the area, why are we continuing to build dams?

It is not always going to rain, and we are depending on past rainfall measures to fill up the dam, to fill up the reservoir. 110,000 acre feet of water is a ton of water that the area already doesn't have.

Like I said before, there's no proof that the NID is providing water to the people and to the small towns in the area, and based off of this and the environmental impact and Nick Wilcox's answer of, we've been doing this for years isn't good enough.

MR. BURNETT: Burnett. B-U-R-N-E-T-T.

My name is Peter Burnett. I'm a resident of Nevada County, property owner along the Dog Bar

corridor. I'm about two-and-a-half miles up from the Bear River, and I use the Dog Bar Bridge daily for commute.

A number of people here tonight expressed concern regarding traffic and traffic mitigation. I think it's a real serious issue, and all the research that I've done -- and all the NID websites and all the links and all the documents I have been able to find, I've seen nothing that talks about real solutions for traffic mitigation.

On one hand, it's a convenience issue, but on another, it's a real life safety issue.

I've had three fires within about a mile of my house in the last two years, and that's my exit, that's my path out.

So what do I do? Wildfire's coming up, and I drive up Mount Olive, drive into the fire to go to Grass Valley? How is this going to get handled?

MS. RIVENES: My name's Rivenes.

R-I-V-E-N-E-S.

I really don't have anything much to add to the really inspiring thoughtful comments of you all tonight. It's just really gratifying to be in a community with the kind of thinking and hearing that you all have for the environment and, of course, for your own home, sense

of place, so on. It's -- it's really very inspiring.

So I won't add anything to it, but thank you all for coming.

MR. BINNER: Ray Binner. B-I-N-N-E-R.

I'm a retired City of Roseville Water

Distribution Operator, Class III, and I can tell you

from my experience that what has been said in here about

groundwater recharging is a little misconceiving.

Groundwater recharging has been done, I think, for quite a long time in California, couple of decades.

We started groundwater recharging in the City of Roseville because we didn't have enough storage.

It's too expensive to build water storage tanks, so what we did is drilled wells in the neighborhoods and pumped water into the ground and stored it, treated water, so you're getting rid of the mercury. You're killing two birds with one stone.

And like the other gentleman said, you can store a lot more water in the aquifer than you can in any reservoir or any storage shed.

Also, City of Roseville, almost all the golf courses, all of them are on reclaimed water. I don't know of any that is on reclaimed water, Nevada County.

Almost all of the lighting and landscaping is on reclaimed water. I don't think any of it is on

reclaimed water in this county.

So contrary to what the other gentleman said, we have a long way to go. Building dams is a -- is a 19th Century technology. People are saying they want technology. It's already here. Ground recharging works.

We have sightings in the Central Valley, and that's what is going to bring us back. Not building dams.

Thank you.

MS. VIERRA: V-I-E-R-R-A.

My husband and I have recently moved up here to Colfax, but the touching thing that I have gotten, and I can say many words here this evening, but I received two letters in the mail today from my granddaughters. They are both 8 and 10, and I would like to quickly read to you what they had to say about this project.

They are handwritten, there is no technology, no iPad, no computer, nothing.

Dear NID, my name is Heidi. I am eight years old. My grandma and grandpa live on Dog Bar Road. The Bear River goes through my grandma's backyard. The dam would be -- the dam would destroy my happy place at her house and destroy her house.

I have a lot of fun catching frogs and baby

frogs. It isn't a good idea and would mean to destroy -- it would be mean to destroy the nature. Please don't build a dam.

Signed, Heidi Vierra.

She's eight.

2.2

My granddaughter who is ten. I will make it brief because she wrote a five-page letter. Okay.

My name is Samantha Vierra. I am ten. My grandma lives on Dog Bar Road. The Bear River runs through her backyard. The dam would destroy our fun with her place at her house.

This place is like my heaven. Winter, spring summer and fall. I would go there in a second to the chance to play. You would destroy everything that she cares about, her garden, plants, and worst of all, the wild animals like the deer, coyotes, turkeys, fish, frogs, mountain lion, and all kinds of birds.

Some animals might be able to run away, but you will be wiping out their homes. There are so many memories that we have already had here at her home. She has an art room where we spend the long summer days there painting, drawing, doing crafts that you could even think of.

The next time we go there, she's going to teach me how to make jewelry, but if I'm not strong enough, I

will have to wait. I will never be able to sit on the art room porch and listen to the river and watch the birds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you decide to make these dams, some of my recent memories -- you must buy my grandma a new house with a river in her backyard. Big property with a cushy home and also make her home for the animals and not all about you or us.

We will have to make fish ladders on the dam so the fish could go up and down the river. It has to have a garden area for my grandma to work, and it has to have a big house just like the one she has now. But those are if you build a dam. I hope you will not. If you --

If you do, please consider all these things, especially the houses that you will destroy, animals and the people.

Please do not think that I am not a nice kid. All I'm trying to do is say, please don't build the dam.

MR. VIERRA: V-I-E-R-R-A, Bradley Vierra.

That's my mom right there. We live on the Bear I've loved it. It's been a home for us, and it River. 's been a sanctuary that we were able to go, have fun, bring friends, family and everybody else with that.

And there is too much ecosystem, there's too much family, there's too many houses, and there's too many people that I have seen that have been devastated from just even the thought of this dam being made, and for how many people that can be completely ruined by this dam being made.

I really believe just a little bit of water source is not even worth it when we are able just to make a water source out of all the things that we already have.

So that's all.

2.2

MR. FOWLER: F-O-W-L-E-R.

Thank you. I am an NID raw water purchaser. I use the water that I buy from the Irrigation District to grow food. My wife and I farm in the South County, and then we also graze about 1,500 acres between Nevada County and Placer County, so we use that water to create food that is actually sold here locally in the community.

I also have concerns about the environmental impacts and the traffic impacts of this project. And my hope as well --

Two things. With my concerns, I think any of us would be foolish to not have questions or concerns at this stage when we're so early into it.

My hope is that the agency can mitigate these concerns, and we can achieve some kind of a balance. I

think right now I'm generally in favor of the project. I know I'm not supposed to say that, but I'm concerned about water storage and the ability to create food in the future locally, and what I'd like --

As far as an analysis, I would like to see an economic analysis of the raw water, agricultural uses of the District's water.

Thank you.

MS. SPRUNCK: Cheri, C-H-E-R-I, Sprunck, S-P-R-U-N-C-K.

The dog face butterfly I understand is unique to the Bear River, so, you know, that's something to be considered, and I've seen ringtail cats also known as miner's cats down there, so that's something that wasn't mentioned by other people, and I've also found Native-American artifact down there.

That's it.

23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

I, CATHERINE D. LAPLANTE, a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing comments was by me duly reported in shorthand by me, CATHERINE D. LAPLANTE, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting; that the foregoing was transcribed to the best of my ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereby certify this transcript at my office in the County of Placer, State of California, this 17th day of March, 2016.

CATHERINE D. LAPLANTE, CSR #10140

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ACCURACY-PLUS REPORTING 21 Certified Shorthand Reporters 3400 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 205 2.2 Roseville, California 95661 (916) 787-4277

23

24

25